Summary of Workshop Evaluation SANDEE - IUCN REEP Asia Research & Proposals Writing Workshop Islamabad, Pakistan September 23 -26, 2002

Workshop Value and Quality

No. of Participants:13

Evaluation Forms Filled By: 12

S.N.	Questions		Respondents Grades			
		Low				High
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Relevance of this activity to your current work		1		1	10
2.	Extent to which you have acquired information that is new to you		2		4	6
3.	Usefulness to you of the information that you have acquired		1		6	5
4.	Focus of this activity on what you specifically needed to learn			2	5	5
5.	Extent to which the content of this activity matched the announced objectives		2	4	4	2
6.	Overall usefulness of this activity			2	1	9

7.	What are the three most significant areas of information, knowledge or skills		
	acquired from this workshop that you could now apply?		
	a. Budgeting in Research Proposals	5	
	b. Discussion gives a good feedback	2	
	c. Reference Section	2	
	d. Formulation of clear methodology for research	5	
	e. Selection of Objectives	5	
	f. Guidelines on Presentation Skills	3	
	g. Formulation of a Research Paper	5	
	h. Apply theory to Validity	1	
	i. Analytical	1	
	j. Practical	1	
	k. More knowledge about SANDEE Research Proposals Competition	3	
	Writing research proposal related to Environmental Economics	1	
	m. Conceptualization of Transaction costs of arranging on institutional set-up	1	
	n. Valuation Extent of transaction costs of arranging an institutional set -up	1	
	o. Actor Oriented Approach	1	
8.	Other Comments	a.	It was a highly effective mode of inducing people to interact and learn.
		b.	Very useful as I am the Ph.D student and ver y helpful in writing Research proposals for my dissertation and as well as for SANDEE. It clears the way now should I proceed.
		C.	The workshop helped concretize ideas related to the above issues, especially methodology
		d.	Such activities should become a regular part as it imparts more knowledge than any textbooks.
		e.	A person really learns by sharing and the resource persons were very much open and all rounded in their approach.

Summary of Workshop Evaluation SANDEE - REEP Asia Research & Proposals Writing Workshop in EE Islamabad, Pakistan September 23 - 26, 2002

Course Structure and Content

No. of Participants: 13 Evaluation Form Filled By: 12

S.N	Questionnaire	Yes	No
1.	Were the numbers of sessions each day appropriate?	10	2
	Comments:		
	More proposals were presented in a day; two a day would be fine. The no. of sessions were a bit lengthy.	1	
	 It was good that there were four presenters per day, anymore would have been overkill. 	1	
	According to proposal different sessions were appropriate.		
	4. The number of sessions were a bit lengthy.		
	5. It was a great mix of plenaries and small groups with a profound level of		
	interaction.		
	6. Yes, it is a good one especially for the new researchers. And each day comes		
	with a lot of varieties of different topics. It is also good for getting new ideas.		
2.	Was the length of each session appropriate?	12	
	Comments:		
	Time for researchers should be increased. Twenty minute presentation forty minute discussion and sixty minutes group.	1	
	2. Twenty-minute presentation, forty-minute discussion and sixty – minutes group work was brilliant time distribution.		
	3. It was appropriate, informative and conclusive.		

3.	If you were to improve on the structure of the program, what would you suggest? Reading Material Discussion Sessions Other Comments: 1. Few more lectures from Resource Persons regarding more principles of Environmental Economics. 2. There should be some type of Exercise on Methods and Techniques used in the Environment Economics.	More 6 3	Less	Same 3 7
	COURSE CONTENT	1	1	
4.	 Has the amount of information or feedback you have received been appropriate? Comments: The feedback from Dr. Shyamsunder on my original draft were very helpful. Unfortunately, I could not present that concept. Appropriate but not enough we need future guidance. The information related to Environment Economics and Proposal Writing was insufficient. They must have been in some more detail. And some ideal proposals must have been the part of this training program; especially presenter should be there with 	Yes 10		No 2
	 his/her proposals. 5. Appropriate in the sense that it gives me a direction and focus to finalize the proposal. 6. Yes and the links and references given were very useful. 7. A friendly and frank atmosphere to learn. 8. Exchange of information with resource persons and other fellows. 9. E. Library, which is provided by the IUCN, is a good help and should be appreciated. 10. If some overview about the things to be discussed is explained a little bit earlier; It would exhaust less time for the researcher to explain the basics. 			

5.	 I got a good feedback on the methods and areas I should focus on and the policy Implication. Pre – workshop and during workshop feedback was very apt. It covers most of the items. It was a two – way interaction that first clarified the issues, which in turn gave me focus and understanding on what needs to be done. Not pleasing to the eyes, it looks scattered where as it is not. It's fine. 	Yes 11	No 1
6.	Please identify the sessions that were MOST useful to you Key components of a good research proposal How to present a good budget – Presentation and Group Exercise Small Group Meetings Group Exercise on How to do a Literature Review Thematic Discussion on EE Group Discussions with Resource Persons Open Discussions with the participants/researchers Interactive Feedback Plenary Sessions	3 5 3 4 2 5 3 2	
7.	Please Identify sessions that were LEAST useful to you. CGE Proposal Presentation Economic Dimensions of Wetland resources in Developing countries Economic valuation of mangrove ecosystem Industrial Growth - A hazard in disguise Growth and Industrialization	2 1 1 1 1	

8.	Any other comments you would like to make.	1.	As this is an exercise on the level when concept note is developed. In future, I also like guidance in Data collection and
			Methodology.
			Great Initiative! Keep it Up!
		3.	Once SANDEE empowers the
			participants it should follow up continuously.
		4.	It is suggested that some pocket money (DA) must be given to
		_	outsider or guest participants.
		5.	There is a need to have more resource persons.
		6.	Same type of workshop sessions in future; regular contacts among all the participants including resource persons SANDEE, IUCN, SDPI, PIDE.
		7.	Yes, SANDEE should hold other related workshop in Pakistan, mainly the course in Environmental Economics and some Methodology (Qualitative) and Quantitative
			(Valuation) analysis.
		8.	It was a learning activity.

9.	Are there any additional preparatory or follow up actions/ information/ materials that it would have been helpful for SANDEE to provide?	 The next agenda of training and workshops. Methodology literature. Yes. We hope to have regular contacts with SANDEE for information sharing. There is a need to arrange workshop on "Modelling" in Pakistan like SANDEE had in Kathmandu on CGE model. Similar proposal approved by SANDEE or that proposal which is at final stages. Models of SANDEE approved proposals. A bit of a refresher on EE won't hurt. These types of Exercises frequently; levels of different stages should be considered. May be handouts of lists of proposal specific issues and topics. For such a training workshop, some ideal proposals must be presented, so that trainees can have an idea of good proposals;