Training evaluation sheet

Number of participants	1	2	3	4	5	6
Relevance of the training and training quality						
The presentations were clear and to the point	2	1	1	1	2	1
The presentations were interesting and practical	2	1	2	1	1	2
The training was interactive	2	1	1	1	2	1
The presenters/facilitators were highly knowledgeable of the subject materials	1	1	1	1	2	1
The training achieved its goals and objectives	2	2	2	2	2	2
Manuals and handouts provided were clear and useful	2	4	2	1	2	2
Adequate time was provided for attendee questions	3	2	2	2	3	1
The content was well organized and easy to follow	2	2	1	1	1	1
The training met my expectations	2	3	1	2	1	1
Appreciation of the coffee break and lunch	2	1	1	2	1	1
Appreciation of training facilities (equipment, space, internet, etc)	2	3	1	2	1	1

1 = Extremely high; 2 = High; 3 = Medium; 4 = Low; 5 = Not at all

Technical sessions						
Search and download DEM of your area of interest (AOI)	2	1	1	1	1	1
Morphological classification of glacial lakes	2	2	1	1	1	1
Level I process – Lake characteristics	1	2	1	1	2	1
Level II process – Dam characteristics	1	2	1	1	2	1
Level III process – Source glacier characteristics	2	2	1	1	2	1
Level IV process – Identifying surrounding features that destabilize dam/lake	2	2	1	2	2	1
Ranking of glacial lakes for potential GLOF reduction	2	2	1	2	2	2

1 = Highly confident to use; 2 = Relatively confident to use; 3 = Basic confidence; 4 = No idea

Feedback to the organizer						
Overall teh sessions were informative and valuable	E	H	E	E	M	H
Has you capacity increased because of the training?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Will you be ab le to apply the knowledge learned	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

EH = Extremely high; H = High; M = Medium; Y = Yes