Evaluation results

A. Relevance of the training and training quality

- The presentations were clear and to the point: 67\% highly confident, 33\% somewhat confident
- The presentations were interesting and practical: 50\% highly confident, 50\% somewhat confident
- The training was interactive: 67\% highly confident, 33\% somewhat confident
- The presenters/facilitators were highly knowledgeable of the subject material: 83\% highly confident, 17\% somewhat confident
- The training achieved its goals and objectives: 100\% highly confident, 17\% somewhat confident
- Manuals and handouts provided were clear and useful: 100\% highly confident, 17\% somewhat confident

B. Technical sessions

- Search and download DEM of your area of interest (AOI): 83\% highly confident, 17\% somewhat confident
- Morphological classification of glacial lakes: 67\% highly confident, 33\% somewhat confident
- Level I process – lake characteristics: 67\% highly confident, 33\% somewhat confident
- Level II process – dam characteristics: 67\% highly confident, 33\% somewhat confident
- Level III process – source glacier characteristics: 50\% highly confident, 50\% somewhat confident
- Level IV process – identifying surrounding features that destabilize dam/lake: 33\% highly confident, 67\% somewhat confident
- Ranking of glacial lakes for potential GLOF reduction: 100\% highly confident, 17\% somewhat confident

Percentage

- \( \square \) Highly confident to use
- \( \square \) Relatively confident to use
- \( \square \) Basic confidence
- \( \square \) Not at all
C. Feedback to the organizer

Any comments on technical sessions

- The training was very informative and got to learn about it in detail
- Duration was not enough to practice properly
- All of the training session was excellent apart from the occasional power shortage

In what ways could this training have been improved to better suit you need?

- The PC should be provided. Our laptop is not of high end processor to run the software properly
- Training duration was short. Some covered all part of the manual, but some didn’t. The training days need to be extended
- Everything was carried out in an excellent manner, and all my queries were address properly

Other comments

- Gave an insight and got to learn about different techniques
- Provide PC and extend the training duration
- Hope to apply this skill for any assessment in the related field and in the near future

How do you apply this training after you go back to Afghanistan?

**SHORT TERM STRATEGY (WITHIN 6 MONTHS)**

- As PDGL needs the specific data to work on, we need to prepare the require data
- To identify potentially dangerous glacial lake in the Kabul River basin

**MEDIUM TERM STRATEGY (IN 2 YEARS)**

- As this is just the start point of PDGL studies in Afghanistan, we will start and continue it based on our facilities
- We should work on regularly because lakes are changing so fast due to climate change
- I will try to put it in teaching programme

**LONG TERM STRATEGY (BEYOND 2 YEARS)**

- It is good to continue the study and monitor based on what data we have prepared
- We should get access to high resolution map for better mapping