HYDROSAR – WEATHER-RELATED HAZARD INFORMATION FROM SAR

Contributors:

F.J Meyer¹⁾, A. Molthan²⁾, L. Schultz²⁾, J. Bell²⁾, B. Osmanoglu³⁾, M.J. Jo³⁾, D.B. McAlpin¹⁾, T. Meyer¹⁾, B. Kubby¹⁾, A. Lewandowski¹⁾, B. Chapmann⁴⁾, M. Matin⁵⁾, R. Thapa⁵⁾, B. Bajracharya⁵⁾, K. Tsering⁵⁾

¹⁾Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks; ²⁾NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL; ³⁾Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD; ⁴⁾Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA; ⁵⁾ICIMOD, Kathmandu, Nepal

Lecture 4: Cal/Val Results of Flood Extent Algorithm







COMPARISON NEAR-SIMULTANEOUS OPTICAL IMAGERY – MISSOURI RIVER, NEBRASKA



Franz J Meyer, UAF & Lori A Schultz, NASA-MSFC HydroSAR Training, 01/2021 - 3

90th percentile

Much above normal

Flow

Record-Setting Flood Event – Missouri River 2019

- Precursors
 - Below average temperatures in February
 - Significant snowfall, depth and coverage
 - Major winter storm leads to additional snowfall then rapid warming, rainfall, and snow melt across much of northeastern Nebraska
 - Cold winter weather and frozen ground limits infiltration, increases runoff and streamflow, develops ice jams, and extensive flooding
- Impacts
 - Extensive flooding begins in northeastern Nebraska, followed downstream with record-setting streamflows along the Missouri River
 - Record-setting damage in Nebraska and downstream states and continued seasonal and heavy rainfall events create a prolonged season of flooding with recurring events throughout 2019.



25-75

Normal

76-90

Above

normal

lowest-

Oth percentile

Much below Normal

10-24

Below.

normal

5



Study Domain – SE Nebraska





Early Evolution of the Flood



Sentinel-2 NDWI: 2019-03-16



Sentinel-2 NDWI: 2019-03-21



Sentinel-2 NDWI: 2019-03-31









ICIMOD JPL

0



+1

Comparing Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2







Sentinel-1 Water Detections: 2019-04-04











JPL

Comparing Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2





ASF

31 March 2019



ICIMOD

IPL

31 March 2019

SERVIR



4 April 2019





• Combining Sentinel-1 & Sentinel-2 for High-Frequency Flood Monitoring; Nebraska 2019



SERVI





Time Series of Flood Detections

ASF





ICIMOD JPL

NASA Goddard

SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

SERVIR

ALASK/

NASA







COMPARISON NEAR-SIMULTANEOUS OPTICAL IMAGERY – MONSOON FLOODING – JULY 2020



Subdomain of the Flood Event



Study period: 1 June 2020 – 14 August 2020







ICIMOD



PL

Why SAR?

S2 animation from 1 June through 1 September 2020

- Very few clear days across the domain
- Cloud cover regardless how thin interferes with surface water extent derivation from the infrared bands
- Comparisons shown later are qualitative due cloud cover
- Ancillary data resources will be necessary for a quantitative analysis of the surface water extents and change detection methodologies















12 June 2020

NasaCoddaro

Pre-event levels of the surface water extents as seen by Sentinel-1 SAR instrument



21 July 2020

inddar

NASA

Wide-spread surface water extent detections across the domain as seen by Sentinel-1 SAR instrument



Area covered in surface water

S



Franz J Meyer, UAF & Lori A Schultz, NASA-MSFC

HydroSAR Training, 01/2021 - 15



Orange lines correspond with dates of low and high-water extents shown in previous slides

NASA

SERVIR

ICIMOD

IPL





Number of days a pixel was flagged as water over the study period.

Given the variation of time between overpasses, this chart is an approximate length of time water is detected at a pixel





Sentinel 2A Natural Color RGB 8 June @ 043711 UTC Sentinel 1 VH-Amplitude 12 June @ 121257 UTC With Surface water masks















Sentinel 2A Natural Color RGB @ 043711 UTC

Sentinel 1 VH-Amplitude @ 121259 UTC

Change Detection Retrieval compared with 12 June 2020















Sentinel 2A Natural Color RGB @ 043709 UTC

Sentinel 1 VH-Amplitude @ 000333 UTC

Change Detection Retrieval compared with 12 June 2020











Open Water versus Inundated Vegetation





VV Amplitude image – 12 June 2020

ASF







Weather affects

2 August 2020 - VV Amplitude

- Surface water extents show a 'gap' in retrieval
- Bit of haze is apparent in the SAR image
- Combining the surface water extent algorithm along with the change detection retrieval results in higher probability of detection of the surface water
 - Need to continue testing to better understand how to automate the correct signal – brighten (red) or darken (blue)











SAR-based retrievals of flood extents provides substantially more information over the period than optical alone

- Optimal conditions would allow for both optical- and SAR-based retrievals to be used together
- For days that had same-day collections, qualitative analysis showed good agreement of water extent areas

> Next steps

ICIMO

- Identify additional sources of validation/verification information (ground stations, local reports) especially for the inundated vegetation areas not easily detectable from satellite sources
- Identify additional satellite resources (Planet, Landsat 8) for additional looks across the domain
- ➤What ideas come to mind across the HKH domain?

Summary











Franz J Meyer, UAF & Lori A Schultz, NASA-MSFC

HydroSAR Training, 01/2021 - 23





BACK-UP SLIDES



Performance Assessment

NASA



- Site: Bangladesh flood 2017

- Example
 - April 07, 2017
 - UAF Flood mapping Product (beta)



Performance Assessment



- Flood mapping Performance
 - Site: Bangladesh flood 2017

- Comparison to Reference Data
 - Source ICIMOD
 - Date Range: April 2017



Performance Assessment



- Flood mapping Performance
 - Site: Bangladesh flood 2017

- Comparison to Reference Data
 - Source ICIMOD
 - Date Range: June 2017

